Decision 06-02-021 February 16, 2006

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Enes Dedic DBA: Airport City Shuttle, for authority to operate as a shuttle service on call, passenger stage corporation between points in Bay Area Cities and Bay Area Airports and to establish a zone of rate freedom.

Application 04-12-020 (Filed December 20, 2004)

#### OPINION

## **Summary**

This decision grants the application of Enes Dedic (Applicant), an individual, pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 1031 et seq., for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate as a passenger stage corporation (PSC), as defined in Pub. Util. Code § 226, and to establish a zone of rate freedom (ZORF) pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 454.2.

### **Discussion**

The application, as amended by letters dated November 22, 2005, and January 13, 2006, requests authority to operate as an on-call PSC to transport passengers and their baggage between points in Alameda, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara Counties, on the one hand, and San Francisco (SFO), Oakland (OAK), and San Jose (SJC) International Airports, on the other hand. In support of the need for this service, Applicant states generally that the availability of transportation services is vital to the successful functioning of today's society. For more than a year he has served the public as a charter-party carrier (TCP 18262-P). Applicant advises that he has established many regular

222009 - 1 -

customers in his charter party operation, and he would like to be able to offer these customers PSC service. His background and experience also includes operating a transportation service in his native country for five years.

Service will be provided initially with one 7-passenger van. Applicant indicates that he has the financial ability to acquire additional units as required to meet the public's transportation needs. Attached to the application as Exhibit D is Applicant's unaudited balance sheet that discloses assets of \$118,000, liabilities of \$12,000, and net worth of \$106,000.

The proposed fares, as set forth in Applicant's letter of November 22, 2005, range between \$29 (Mountain View – SJC) and \$99 (Livermore – SFO). Applicant requests authority to establish a ZORF of \$10 above and below the proposed fares. He will compete with other PSCs, taxicabs, charter limousines and sedans, public transit, and private automobiles in his service area. This highly competitive environment should result in Applicant pricing his services at a reasonable level. Many other PSCs have been granted ZORFs. The requested ZORF is generally consistent with the ZORFs held by other PSCs.

Notice of filing of the application appeared in the Commission's Daily Calendar on December 28, 2004. Applicant requests a waiver from the provisions of Rule 21 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure which require service of a notice of the application on all city and county officials within whose boundaries the passengers will be loaded or unloaded. Applicant served a notice to the affected airports and the public transit operators in the service area. Applicant states that all parties that have an interest in Commission proceedings subscribe to or have access to the Commission's Daily Calendar. We shall exercise the discretion accorded to us by Rule 87 and grant the waiver requested by Applicant because he will be providing on-call service, not

scheduled service, and service on all cities and counties in the service territory would be burdensome.

In Resolution ALJ 176-3145 dated January 13, 2005, the Commission preliminarily categorized this application as ratesetting, and preliminarily determined that hearings were not necessary. No protest has been received. Given this status, public hearing is not necessary, and it is not necessary to alter the preliminary determinations made in Resolution ALJ 176-3145.

This is an uncontested matter in which the decision grants the relief requested. Accordingly, pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 311(g)(2), the otherwise applicable 30-day period for public review and comment is being waived.

## **Assignment of Proceeding**

Richard Clark is the assigned Examiner in this proceeding.

## **Findings of Fact**

- 1. Applicant requests authority to operate as an on-call PSC to transport passengers and their baggage between points in Alameda, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara Counties, on the one hand, and SFO, SJC, and OAK, on the other hand.
  - 2. Public convenience and necessity requires the proposed service.
- 3. Applicant requests authority to establish a ZORF of \$10 above and below the proposed fares set forth in his letter of November 22, 2005.
- 4. Applicant will compete with PSCs, taxicabs, charter limousines and sedans, public transit, and private automobiles in his operations. The ZORF is fair and reasonable.
- 5. Applicant requests a waiver of the notice requirements of Rule 21 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure as he has served the affected airports and the public transit operators in the service area.

- 6. No protest to the application has been filed.
- 7. A public hearing is not necessary.
- 8. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment.

#### **Conclusions of Law**

- 1. Public convenience and necessity has been demonstrated and the application, as amended, should be granted.
  - 2. The request to waive the notice requirements of Rule 21 should be granted.
  - 3. The request for a ZORF should be granted.
- 4. Before Applicant changes any fares under the ZORF authorized below, Applicant should give this Commission at least ten days' notice. The tariff should show the high and low ends of the ZORF and the then currently effective fare between each pair of service points.
- 5. Since the matter is uncontested, the decision should be effective on the date it is signed.
- 6. Only the amount paid to the State for operative rights may be used in rate fixing. The State may grant any number of rights and may cancel or modify the monopoly feature of these rights at any time.

#### ORDER

#### **IT IS ORDERED** that:

1. A certificate of public convenience and necessity (CPCN) is granted to Enes Dedic (Applicant), an individual, authorizing him to operate as a passenger stage corporation (PSC), as defined in Pub. Util. Code § 226, to transport passengers and their baggage between the points and over the routes set forth in

Appendix PSC-18262, subject to the conditions contained in the following paragraphs.

## 2. Applicant shall:

- a. File a written acceptance of this certificate within 30 days after this order is effective.
- b. Establish the authorized service and file tariffs and timetables within 120 days after this order is effective.
- c. File tariffs on or after the effective date of this order. They shall become effective ten days or more after the effective date of this order, provided that the Commission and the public are given not less than ten days' notice.
- d. Comply with General Orders Series 101 and 158, and the California Highway Patrol (CHP) safety rules.
- e. Comply with the controlled substance and alcohol testing certification program pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 1032.1 and General Order Series 158.
- f. Remit to the Commission the Transportation Reimbursement Fee required by Pub. Util. Code § 423 when notified by mail to do so.
- g. Comply with Pub. Util. Code §§ 460.7 and 1043, relating to the Workers' Compensation laws of this state.
- h. Enroll all drivers in the pull notice system as required by Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code.
- 3. Applicant is authorized under Pub. Util. Code § 454.2 to establish a zone of rate freedom (ZORF) of \$10 above and below the proposed fares set forth in his letter of November 22, 2005.
- 4. Applicant shall file a ZORF tariff in accordance with the application on not less than ten days' notice to the Commission and to the public. The ZORF shall expire unless exercised within 120 days after the effective date of this order.
- 5. Applicant may make changes within the ZORF by filing amended tariffs on not less than ten days' notice to the Commission and to the public. The tariff

shall include the authorized maximum and minimum fares and the fare to be charged between each pair of service points.

- 6. In addition to posting and filing tariffs, Applicant shall post notices explaining fare changes in his terminals and passenger-carrying vehicles. Such notices shall be posted at least ten days before the effective date of the fare changes and shall remain posted for at least 30 days.
- 7. Applicant is authorized to begin operations on the date that the Consumer Protection and Safety Division mails a notice to Applicant that his evidence of insurance and other documents required by Ordering Paragraph 2 have been filed with the Commission and that the CHP has approved the use of Applicant's vehicles for service.
- 8. Before beginning service to any airport, Applicant shall notify the airport's governing body. Applicant shall not operate into or on airport property unless such operations are authorized by the airport's governing body.
- 9. The CPCN to operate as PSC-18262, granted herein, expires unless exercised within 120 days after the effective date of this order.
- 10. The notice requirements of Rule 21 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure are waived as notice of the application has been served upon parties that may have an interest in this proceeding.
  - 11. The Application is granted as set forth above.

# A.04-12-020 CPSD/RWC/pw

12. This proceeding is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated February 16, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

MICHAEL R. PEEVEY
President
GEOFFREY F. BROWN
DIAN M. GRUENEICH
JOHN A. BOHN
RACHELLE B. CHONG
Commissioners

Enes Dedic (an individual)

Original Title Page

### **CERTIFICATE**

OF

## PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

## AS A PASSENGER STAGE CORPORATION

PSC-18262

Showing passenger stage operative rights, restrictions, limitations, exceptions, and privileges.

\_\_\_\_\_

All changes and amendments as authorized by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California will be made as revised pages or added original pages.

\_\_\_\_\_

Issued under authority of Decision 06-02-021, dated February 16, 2006, of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California in Application 04-12-020.

Enes Dedic (an individual)

Original Page 1

## INDEX

		Page
SECTION I.	GENERAL AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND SPECIFICATIONS	2
SECTION II.	SERVICE AREA	3
SECTION III.	ROUTE DESCRIPTION	3

Enes Dedic (an individual)

Original Page 2

# SECTION I. GENERAL AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND SPECIFICATIONS.

Enes Dedic, an individual, by the certificate of public convenience and necessity granted by the decision noted in the foot of the margin, is authorized to transport passengers and their baggage on an "on-call" basis between the points described in Section II, over the routes described in Section III, subject, however, to the authority of this Commission to change or modify this authority at any time and subject to the following provisions:

- A. When a route description is given in one direction, it applies to operation in either direction unless otherwise indicated.
- B. The term "on-call," as used, refers to service which is authorized to be rendered dependent on the demands of passengers. The tariffs shall show the conditions under which each authorized on-call service will be provided, and shall include the description of the boundary of each fare zone, except when a single fare is charged to all points within a single incorporated city.
- C. No passengers shall be transported except those having a point of origin or destination as described in Section IIB.
- D. This certificate does not authorize the holder to conduct any operation on the property of any airport unless such operation is authorized by the airport authority involved.

Enes Dedic (an individual) Original Page 3

## SECTION II. SERVICE AREA.

- A. Points in the Counties of Alameda, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara.
- B. San Francisco International Airport (SFO).Oakland International Airport (OAK).San Jose International Airport (SJC).

## SECTION III. ROUTE DESCRIPTION.

Commencing from any point described in Section IIA, then over the most convenient streets and highways to any airport described in Section IIB.